

Mr. A. Bruno was negligent in his duty when he stated the following on the record:

“So the defendant did bring a motion forward, your worship. The motion I have in front of me was from May the 7th, 2011 (actual date was May 27th, 2011) to which it indicates there was-he requested full disclosure on the said matter, however the crown brief would indicate that there were a number of phone calls [LIE # 1] and Mr. Cassista did avoid any type of disclosure requests that were made by our office. [LIE # 2]”

The Court asks – CLEARLY, *“He never picked it up, is that what you’re saying?”* and Mr. A. Bruno responds with the following:

“Correct, through numerous phone calls. [LIE # 3] We have documentation here that suggest either he would say, and I can tell you this through personal...” (He was cut off at this point but it sure sounded like he was going to say something like “...through personal experience or knowledge”.)

The Court interrupts and asks for dates and he responds with the following:

“It was left on May the 30th and it’s still in our possession.” [LIE # 4] (The Court asks’ what year?) Mr. A. Bruno responds, “2011”.

He goes on to say, *“Although the requests were made on a number of occasions, February the 9th, 2012.” [LIE # 5]* (A fax request was made on this date in 2011, not 2012.)

And the Court responds, *“He requested disclosure on February 9th, 2012 but it’s been sitting in the crown’s office since May 30th, 2011?”*

Mr. A. Bruno responds, ***“Correct. Yes, your worship.” [LIE #6]***

The Court clarifies the May 30th date and then Mr. A. Bruno goes on to say, ***“That was left here at Police Bureau in Mississauga to which it was not picked up.” [LIE # 7]***

The Court once again asks for clarification of the date Disclosure was available and Mr. A. Bruno once again responds, “*Disclosure was ready on May 30th, 2011 after the first request on February 9th.*” [LIE # 8] (On April 19th, 2011 another fax request was sent and then a motion was filed on May 27th, 2011 so this would be three (3) requests by me before May 30th, 2011.)

Mr. A. Bruno does get it right once soon after all the above statements, but not without using more words that would continue to vilify me when he states the following, “*He finally picked up the disclosure request on June 9th, 2011 at 3:30, after two phone calls were made on May the 30th. One time he actually picked up the phone and stated to call him back and then the next time it went straight to his voicemail. [LIE # 9 – I was driving at the time and told whoever called to call right back and leave a message as I won’t answer the phone and my message service will pick up (they were going to leave me a number if I had any questions)] So he actually does have the disclosure picked up as of June 9th, 2011.*”

The justice of the peace **did not hear the part about me picking up the documents on June 9th, 2011** or she would have **spoke out about all the other claims where he clearly stated otherwise**. She swallowed the whole pack of lies hook line and sinker, got pissed and then didn’t even give me a chance to respond to any of it. **Can you honestly call this a fair hearing?**